FLORIDA		ATING OPERATION SPECTION CHECKLI	Environmental
INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)
AIRS ID#: 0112660 DA' FACILITY NAME: IDE FACILITY LOCATION	EAL AUTO COLLISION	<b>ARRIVE: <u>09:30 AM</u></b> 511	DEPART: <u>10:30 AM</u>
OWNER/AUTHORIZE CONTACT NAME: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: MICHA DD: 3/2/2006 / 3/2/2011 (effective date) (end date)	EL PIERRO PHONE: PHONE:	(954)921-7918
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (check		Non-COMPLIANCE
<ul> <li>(check  appropriat</li> <li>1. Does the facility of which are exempt have been exempt</li> <li>2. Does the owner/op and the quantity of</li> <li>3. Does the owner/op of at least five yea</li> <li>4. Is the total quantit</li> <li>5. Does the amount of</li> </ul>	<b>EPING REQUIREMENTS</b> – Rule e box(es)) operate any emissions units other that from permitting pursuant to the critted from permitting under Rule 62-4 operator of the facility maintain record f the coatings used?	In the surface coating operation eria of paragraph 62-210.300( .040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.30) ds to document the VOC conte- or Department inspection, these day or less, averaged monthly d thinners used in the process	3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or         0(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)       □Yes       No         ent of the coatings
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>1. Is/Are the surface emission limiting</li> <li>2. Does the facility</li> </ol>	<b>OPERATING/MAINTANANCE</b> box(es)) e coating operation(s) subject to a V g standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F cause, suffer, allow or permit the di odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)	OC Reasonably Available Con A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c) scharge of air pollutants which	ntrol Technology (RACT) 4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No a cause or contribute to

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check  $\overline{\square}$  appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	X res $\square$ No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes 🛛 No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
3) using water based cleaners?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
<ul> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	•	
local program office?	Yes	No

Cynthia V. Fernandez

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

08/27/2008

Date of Inspection

August 2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In compliance. Records availabe. No air compliance violations observed. Booths did not have any problems. Filters were in good conditions, and operating properly at the time of the inspection. The booths are downdraft.